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## Tóm tắt:

Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi đề xuất một mở rộng của phương pháp thác triển theo tham số giải hệ phương trình phi tuyến có nhiễu. Sự tồn tại và tính duy nhất nghiệm sẽ được nghiên cứu. Chúng tôi cũng thảo luận về sai số của phương pháp. Tính hiệu quả và khả năng áp dụng của phương pháp được trình bày thông qua một ví dụ.
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#### Abstract

:

In this paper, we propose an extension of parameter continuation method for solving perturbed systems of nonlinear equations. The existence and uniqueness of the solution will be investigated. We also discuss error analysis of the method. The validity and applicability of the method is verified by an example.


## 1 Introduction

Solving systems of nonlinear equations is of great importance, because these systems frequently arise in many branches of computational mathematics. Systems of nonlinear equations are usually difficult to get their exact solutions. So many different iterative methods have been proposed to obtain approximate solutions of the systems of nonlinear equations, which were presented in $[1,6,8,13]$.

Parameter continuation method (PCM) [7, 10, $11,14-17$ ] is a powerful technique for solving operator equations of various kinds. In recent years, the PCM has been successfully applied to solve many nonlinear equations [3,12, 18].

In previous paper, we studied the application of PCM for solving systems of nonlinear equations [4]. In this paper, we apply an extension of the PCM that we already presented in [5] to perturbed
systems of nonlinear equations. This work can be viewed as an extension of the result in [4].

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we recall some necessary definitions and known results before introducing main results in Section 3. Section 4 draws some conclusions from the paper.

## 2 Preliminaries

Consider the operator equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+A(x)+B(x)=f \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A, B$ are nonlinear operators from the Banach space $X$ into itself and $f$ is a given function in $X$.

Definition 2.1. (see [7]) The mapping $A$, which operates in the Banach space $X$ is called monotone
if for any elements $x_{1}, x_{2} \in X$ and any $\varepsilon>0$ the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}+\varepsilon\left[A\left(x_{1}\right)-A\left(x_{2}\right)\right]\right\| \geq\left\|x_{1}-x_{2}\right\| . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.2. (see [7]) If $X$ is Hilbert space then the condition of monotony (2) is equivalent to the classical condition

$$
\left\langle A\left(x_{1}\right)-A\left(x_{2}\right), x_{1}-x_{2}\right\rangle \geq 0, \forall x_{1}, x_{2} \in X,
$$

where $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ is an inner product in the Hilbert space $X$.

Theorem 2.3. (see [5]) Assume that $A$ is a Lipschitz-continuous and monotone operator, $B$ is a contractive operator. Then the equation (1) has a unique solution for any element $f \in X$.

The following iteration process is constructed to find approximate solutions of the equation (1)

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i+1}= & \underbrace{-\frac{1}{N} A\left(x_{i}\right)-\frac{1}{N} A\left(x_{j}\right)-\cdots-\frac{1}{N} A\left(x_{h}\right)}_{N \text { terms }} \\
& -B\left(x_{p}\right)+f, i, j, \ldots, p=0,1, \ldots \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The symbolic notation (3) should be understood as the following iteration processes, which consist of $N+1$ iteration processes

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i+1}= & -\varepsilon_{0} A\left(x_{i}\right)+x_{j}^{(1)}, i=0,1, \ldots  \tag{4a}\\
x_{j+1}^{(1)}= & -\varepsilon_{0} A G_{1}^{-1}\left(x_{j}^{(1)}\right)+x_{l}^{(2)}, j=0,1, \ldots,  \tag{4b}\\
& \ldots  \tag{4c}\\
x_{p+1}^{(N)}= & -B G_{1}^{-1} \cdots G_{N}^{-1}\left(x_{p}^{(N)}\right)+f, p=0,1, \ldots, \\
& x_{0}^{(N)}=f \tag{4~d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x^{(1)}=x+\varepsilon_{0} A(x) \equiv G_{1}(x), x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}+$ $\varepsilon_{0} A G_{1}^{-1} \cdots G_{k}^{-1}(x) \equiv G_{k+1}(x), k=1,2, \ldots, N-2$. Assume that the number of steps in each iteration scheme of iteration processes (4a)-(4d) is the same and equals $n$. Let $x_{n}$ be approximate solutions of the equation 1 . Note that $x_{n}$ depends on $N$. Hence we denote $x(n, N) \equiv x_{n}$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.4. (see [5]) Let the assumptions of Theorem 2.3 are satisfied. Then the sequence of approximate solutions $\{x(n, N)\}, n=1,2, \ldots$ constructed by iteration processes (4a)-(4d) converges to the exact solution $x \in X$ of the equation (1). Moreover, the following estimates hold

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|x(n, N)-x\| \leq \frac{1}{1-q_{B}}\left[\frac{q_{A}^{n+1}}{1-q_{A}} \frac{1-q_{B}^{n+1}}{1-q_{B}} \frac{e^{q_{A} N}-1}{e^{q_{A}}-1}\right. \\
\left.+q_{B}^{n+1}\right]\|f\|, \quad \text { (5) }
\end{array}
$$

where $N$ is the smallest natural number such that $q_{A}=\frac{L}{N}<1, L$ is Lipschitz coefficient of the operator $A, q_{B}$ is a contraction coefficient of the operator $B, n=1,2, \ldots$.

Next, we introduce the following technical wellknown theorem for the proof of the main result.

Theorem 2.5. (see [4]) Assume that, $F: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a differentiable mapping and satisfies the following conditions:
(i) $\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \geq \alpha, i=\overline{1, n}$,
(ii) $\left|\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right| \leq \beta, i \neq j, \quad i, j=\overline{1, n}$.

Then, the following inequality holds

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\langle F(x)-F(y), x-y\rangle \geq[\alpha-(n-1) \beta]\|x-y\|^{2} \\
\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n} . \tag{6}
\end{array}
$$

Remark 2.6. (see [4]) If $\alpha-(n-1) \beta=0$ then $F$ is monotone mapping. If $\alpha-(n-1) \beta>0$ then $F$ is strongly monotone mapping.

## 3 Main results

In this section, we propose an extension of the PCM for solving perturbed system of nonlinear equations in several variables

$$
\begin{equation*}
x+F(x)+\Phi(x)=b, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is unknown vector, $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right)^{T} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a given vector, $F(x)=\left(f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \ldots, f_{n}(x)\right)^{T}, \Phi(x)=$ $\left(\varphi_{1}(x), \varphi_{2}(x), \ldots, \varphi_{n}(x)\right)^{T}$ and $F, \Phi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ are nonlinear mappings.

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied
(i) $F$ is a differentiable mapping;
(ii) $\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \geq \alpha, i=\overline{1, n}$;
(iii) $\left|\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right| \leq \beta, i \neq j, \quad i, j=\overline{1, n}$;
(iv) $\alpha-(n-1) \beta \geq 0$;
(v) $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|\frac{\partial f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}\right| \leq L, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, \forall i=\overline{1, n}$;
(vi) There is a positive real number $\bar{q}<1$ such that

$$
\|\Phi(x)-\Phi(y)\| \leq \bar{q}\|x-y\|, \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Then the perturbed system of nonlinear equations
(7) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Proof. From the assumptions (i)-(iv), by Theorem 2.5 and Remark 2.6 we have $F$ is monotone mapping. Let $F^{\prime}(x) \equiv A=\left(a_{i j}\right)$, where $a_{i j}=$ $\frac{\partial f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}$. The assumption (v) is equivalent to $\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| \leq L$. For any $h=\left(h_{1}, h_{2}, \ldots, h_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j} h_{j}\right|=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|\left|h_{j}\right| \leq \\
\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right| \max _{1 \leq j \leq n}\left|h_{j}\right| \\
=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|\|h\|_{\infty} .
\end{array}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|A(h)\|_{\infty}=\max _{1 \leq i \leq n} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j} h_{j}\right| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq n}
\end{array} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left|a_{i j}\right|\|h\|_{\infty} .
$$

Hence $\|A\|_{\infty} \leq L<+\infty$ then $F$ is Lipschitzcontinuous mapping with Lipschitz coefficient equal to $L$. It follows from the assumption (vi) that $\Phi$ is a contraction mapping with contraction coefficient equal to $\bar{q}<1$.
Consequently, all conditions of Theorem 2.4 are satisfied. By Theorem 2.4, the perturbed system of nonlinear equations (7) has a unique solution for any $b \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. This completes the proof.
Remark 3.2. In the perturbed system of nonlinear equations (7), we can consider the monotone and Lipschitz-continuous mapping $F$ as the main mapping while the contractive operator $\Phi$ as a pertubation mapping or vice versa. This result is the extend of the known result on the application of the method of contractive mapping for solving system of nonlinear equations and result in [4] about PCM for solving system of nonlinear equations. Indeed, we consider the two following special cases. When $F \equiv 0$ the equation (7) has form $x+\Phi(x)=b$ with $\Phi$ is a contraction mapping. When $\Phi \equiv 0$ the equation (7) has form $x+F(x)=b$ with $F$ is monotone and Lipschitz - continuous mapping.
By substituting $F, \Phi, b$ for $A, B, f$ in iteration processes (4a)-(4d), respectively, the approximate solutions of the perturbed system of nonlinear equations (7) can be found by the following iteration processes

$$
\begin{align*}
x_{i+1}= & -\varepsilon_{0} F\left(x_{i}\right)+x_{j}^{(1)}, i=0,1, \ldots,  \tag{8a}\\
x_{j+1}^{(1)}= & -\varepsilon_{0} F G_{1}^{-1}\left(x_{j}^{(1)}\right)+x_{l}^{(2)}, j=0,1, \ldots,  \tag{8b}\\
& \ldots,  \tag{8c}\\
x_{p+1}^{(N)}= & -\Phi G_{1}^{-1} \cdots G_{N}^{-1}\left(x_{p}^{(N)}\right)+f, p=0,1, \ldots, \\
& x_{0}^{(N)}=b, \tag{8d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x^{(1)}=x+\varepsilon_{0} F(x) \equiv G_{1}(x), x^{(k+1)}=x^{(k)}+$ $\varepsilon_{0} F G_{1}^{-1} \cdots G_{k}^{-1}(x) \equiv G_{k+1}(x), k=1,2, \ldots, N-2$.

Assume that, the numbers of steps in each iteration scheme of iteration processes (8a)-(8d) is the same and equals $n$. Let $x_{n}$ be approximate solutions of the perturbed system of nonlinear equations (7). Note that $x_{n}$ depends on $N$, hence we denote $x(n, N) \equiv x_{n}$. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 be satisfied. Then the sequence of approximate solutions $\{x(n, N)\}, n=1,2, \ldots$ constructed by iteration processes (8a)-(8d) converges to the exact solution $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of the perturbed system of nonlinear equations (7). Moreover, the following estimates hold

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|x(n, N)-x\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\bar{q}}\left[\frac{q^{n+1}}{1-q} \frac{1-\bar{q}^{n+1}}{1-\bar{q}} \frac{e^{q N}-1}{e^{q}-1}\right. \\
\left.\quad+\bar{q}^{n+1}\right]\|b\|, n=1,2, \ldots \tag{9}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $N$ is the smallest natural number such that $q=\frac{L}{N}<1, L$ is Lipschitz coefficient of the operator $F$ and $\bar{q}$ is a contraction coefficient of the operator $\Phi$.

Proof. The proof follows immediately from Theorem 2.4 by setting $A=F, B=\Phi$ and $f=b$.

Example 3.1. Consider the following system of nonlinear equations

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{4}{3} x_{1}+\ln \left(e^{x_{1}}+1\right)+\frac{1}{3} x_{2}+\frac{2}{3} \sin x_{2}=1 \\
-\frac{1}{3} x_{1}+\frac{3}{4} \cos x_{1}+\frac{4}{3} x_{2}+\arctan x_{2}=\frac{1}{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We write this system as the form

$$
x+F(x)+\Phi(x)=b,
$$

where $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)^{T}, b=\left(1-\ln (2),-\frac{1}{4}\right)^{T}, F(x)=$ $\left(f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)^{T}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{3} x_{1}+\ln \left(e^{x_{1}}+1\right)+\frac{1}{3} x_{2}-\ln (2) \\
& f_{2}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{3} x_{1}+\frac{1}{3} x_{2}+\arctan x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\Phi(x)=\left(\frac{2}{3} \sin x_{2}, \frac{3}{4} \cos x_{1}-3 / 4\right)^{T}$.
It is easy to verify that $\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{i}} \geq \alpha=\frac{1}{3}, i=1,2,3$ and $\left|\frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial x_{j}}\right|=\beta=\frac{1}{3}, i \neq j, \quad i, j=1,2$, so that $\alpha-(n-1) \beta=\frac{1}{3}-(2-1) \frac{1}{3}=0$. Moreover, we have $\sum_{j=1}^{2}\left|\frac{\partial f_{i}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\partial x_{j}}\right| \leq L=\frac{5}{3}, \forall x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}, i=1,2$. For all $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right), y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ we have

$$
\|\Phi(x)-\Phi(y)\| \leq \frac{3}{4}\|x-y\| .
$$

Therefore, the conditions of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. Then the given system has a unique solution. By applying the iteration processes (8a)-(8d) and the error estimations (9) with $N=2$, for some $n$ the approximate solutions of this system and corresponding errors are shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Approximation solutions and errors values in

| Example 3.1 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| s | Approximate solutions | Error |
| $20(0.2035255893,-0.07148406388)$ | 1.19371495 |  |
| $30(0.2035249334,-0.07148567987)$ | $1.928279061 \times 10^{-1}$ |  |
| $50(0.2035249230,-0.07148567278)$ | $5.025545612 \times 10^{-3}$ |  |

## 4 Conclusions

In this paper, we have presented an extension of the PCM for solving perturbed systems of nonlinear eqations. We first establish the sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of the solution. Then, error analysis of the proposed method is also provided. Finally, a numerical example is given to illustrate the effectiveness of the result.
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